Risk Assessment and Financial Performance of Hospitality Firms in Rivers State, Nigeria

Prof. Obara Lawyer Chukwuma
Department of Accounting,
Faculty of Administration and Management
Rivers State University,
Nkpolu Port Harcourt Rivers State, Nigeria.
E-mail: obara.lawyer@rsu.edu.ng

Jonah Ngbomowa Moses PhD
Department of Accounting,
Faculty of Administration and Management
Rivers State University,
Nkpolu Port Harcourt Rivers State, Nigeria.
E-mail: ngbomowa.jonah@ust.edu.ng

DOI: 10.56201/jafm.vol.12.no7.2026.p271.284

Abstract
This study investigates the influence of risk assessment on the financial performance of Hospitality Firms in Rivers State, Nigeria, focusing on the dimensions of risk identification, risk analysis, and risk control, and measuring performance through Net Profit Margin (NPM), Earnings per Share (EPS), and Return on Investment (ROI). Employing a quantitative research design, data were collected from 30 selected hospitality firms in rivers state using structured questionnaires and secondary financial reports. Descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and regression techniques were used to analyse the data. The findings reveal that all dimensions of risk management significantly affect financial performance, with risk identification and control exhibiting the strongest impact. The study concludes that effective risk assessment enhances firm profitability, shareholder value, and sustainable financial growth. The research recommends the adoption of integrated enterprise risk management frameworks, staff training, and technology-driven risk monitoring to optimize financial outcomes. These insights provide a practical guide for managers and policymakers seeking to improve organizational resilience and value creation in uncertain business environments.

Keywords: *Risk Management, Risk Identification, Risk Analysis, Risk Control, Financial Performance, Net Profit Margin, Earnings per Share, Return on Investment.*

Introduction

The hospitality sector has evolved into a major contributor to Nigeria's economic growth, serving as a key driver of value creation, employment generation, and urban development. As consumer preferences continue to shift toward higher service standards, firms within the sector particularly hotels are compelled to strengthen their operational efficiency and financial

outcomes. The strategic emphasis on enhancing financial performance has therefore become central to sustaining competitiveness in an increasingly dynamic service environment. According to Berman (2022), hotels must consistently exceed customer expectations through superior service delivery in order to maintain market relevance and expand their revenue base. This underscores the pivotal role of internal management processes, particularly those relating to risk behaviour and financial decision-making, in shaping overall performance.

Risk represents the probability that actual outcomes will differ from expected results, and every business entity faces a combination of industry-specific and general risks that can distort profitability and cash flows if not effectively managed. Within the hospitality sector, uncertainties such as fluctuating demand patterns, operational disruptions, security concerns, and macroeconomic volatility further intensify risk exposure. Consequently, the capacity of firms to adequately identify, assess, and respond to risks determines their ability to protect earnings and sustain growth. Risk aversion an established construct in economics and finance plays a central role in shaping managerial decisions under uncertainty. As noted by Sivasankaran and Selvakrishnan (2023), individuals and firms differ significantly in their willingness to engage in or avoid risk, and such behavioural tendencies directly influence financial strategies and outcomes. Despite its theoretical relevance, there remains limited consensus regarding the magnitude of risk-related effects on firm performance, as empirical findings continue to vary across industries and methodological contexts. Financial performance has been widely conceptualized as an outcome of a firm's strategic, operational, and financial decisions. Beck, (2007) describe financial performance as the monetary reflection of a firm's policies and activities, typically measured through indicators such as return on investment, return on assets, and net profit margins. Financial performance measures how effectively an organization utilizes its resources to achieve financial objectives and generate profits. These metrics provide insight into operational efficiency, managerial effectiveness, and the organization's capacity to create economic value. financial performance serves as an indicator of corporate financial health and a basis for comparison across industries. Firms with strong performance demonstrate optimal resource utilization, adequate liquidity, profitability, and solvency attributes that attract investors, creditors, regulators, and other stakeholders. Yang, et al, (2018) further note that financial performance reflects the extent to which a company can manage and control its financial and physical resources for value creation. Thus, for hospitality firms, maintaining strong financial performance is crucial for long-term survival, stakeholder confidence, and sustainable growth.

Although numerous studies have explored the connection between risk behaviour and financial outcomes, most have focused on general investment settings or other sectors outside hospitality. Kumari & Arora, (2015) investigated how investors' risk tolerance shapes their investment decisions, while Manuele, (2016). examined demographic determinants of risk tolerance. Research by Sivasankaran and Selvakrishnan (2023) highlighted the influence of family and professional advisers on women investors' risk behaviour, and studies such as Ferli et al, (2022) linked financial literacy, experience, and risk tolerance to investment decisions among student investors. While these works provide valuable insights into risk-related behaviour, they do not specifically address the operational context of the hospitality sector in Nigeria.

Given the strategic importance of hotels and hospitality firms to the Nigerian economy, and the rising volatility within the business environment, there is a clear need for empirical evidence on how risk assessment influences financial outcomes in this sector. The current study therefore investigates the relationship between risk assessment and the financial performance of listed

hospitality firms in Rivers state Nigeria, focusing on how risk identification, risk analysis, and risk control contribute to key financial performance indicators

General Objective

The general objective of this study is to examine the effect of risk assessment on the financial performance of hospitality firms in Rivers state Nigeria. Specific Objectives are to:

1. Determine the effect of risk identification on the financial performance of hospitality firms in Rivers state Nigeria.
2. Determine the effect of risk analysis on the financial performance of hospitality firms in Rivers state Nigeria.
3. Determine the effect of risk control on the financial performance of hospitality firms in Rivers state Nigeria.

Research hypotheses

H_{01}: Risk identification has no significant effect on the financial performance of hospitality firms in Rivers state Nigeria.

H_{02}: Risk analysis has no significant effect on the financial performance of hospitality firms in Rivers state Nigeria.

H_{03}: Risk control has no significant effect on the financial performance of hospitality firms in Rivers state Nigeria.

Theoretical Review

Risk Aversion Theory

Risk Aversion Theory, rooted in the early work of Bernoulli (1738) and later refined by economists such as Arrow (1971) and Pratt (1964), explains why individuals generally prefer certain outcomes over uncertain ones with equal or even higher expected value. The theory assumes that individuals derive utility from wealth in a diminishing manner, represented by a concave utility function. This implies that the negative utility from potential losses exceeds the positive utility from equivalent gains, making individuals inherently risk-averse.

The concept of certainty equivalences the amount an individual is willing to accept in place of a risky alternative further illustrates this preference. Because the certainty equivalent is usually lower than the expected value of a risky outcome, risk-averse individuals willingly pay premiums for insurance and tend to choose safer investment portfolios (Markowitz, 1952). Risk Aversion Theory also informs asset pricing, explaining why financial markets require risk premiums to compensate investors for holding risky assets.

The theory plays a central role in understanding financial decision-making, insurance demand, investment behaviour, and public policy design. By explaining how individuals evaluate uncertain outcomes, Risk Aversion Theory provides a foundation for understanding risk assessment and how firms make financial and operational decisions under uncertainty.

Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) Theory

Enterprise Risk Management Theory emphasizes the importance of coordinated and holistic risk oversight within an organization, particularly under conditions of market imperfection. According to Nguyen et al, (2016), firms adopt risk management strategies to enhance shareholder value, hedge against potential losses, and strengthen operational resilience.

However, Annannab et al, (2022). argues that risk management is often complicated by agency problems and information asymmetry between managers and the board of directors.
Under ERM Theory, managers who operate with varying motivations and behavioural biases may over-manage or under-manage risks, creating inefficiencies. Ideally, the board of directors should serve as a risk-neutral body representing shareholders' interests, but centralized managerial decision-making often limits the board's access to accurate and timely information about the firm's overall risk exposure. This leads to what Jankensgard terms the corporate risk management information problem.
To address these challenges, ERM introduces structured risk governance mechanisms to ensure that risk management decisions align with the firm's long-term objectives. The board must therefore design incentive systems and oversight mechanisms that balance the cost of risk mitigation with the potential costs of financial distress. By integrating governance, oversight, and holistic risk evaluation, is ensuring that managers undertake risk decisions that optimize firm value and performance.

Concept of Risk assessment
Risk assessment in this study refers to the systematic process through which hospitality firms identify, evaluate, and prioritize potential threats that may affect their operations and financial performance. It involves recognizing risk events (risk identification), examining their likelihood and potential impact (risk analysis), and determining appropriate responses to minimize adverse outcomes (risk control) (Yang et al, 2021). Through effective risk assessment, firms are able to anticipate vulnerabilities, allocate resources efficiently, and implement measures that support stability, profitability, and long-term financial sustainability (Haksever, 2025).

Concept of Risk Identification
Risk Identification
Risk identification is the foundational step in the risk assessment process, involving the systematic recognition of potential threats that could negatively affect an organization's objectives (Karagiorgos et al., 2009). It entails pinpointing internal and external risks, including operational, financial, legal, and market-related factors, which could disrupt business continuity or performance (Manuele, 2016). By creating a comprehensive inventory of risks, firms are better positioned to understand the scope and sources of uncertainty, enabling proactive planning and informed decision-making (UNDP, 2015). Effective risk identification ensures that organizations do not overlook critical vulnerabilities and forms the basis for subsequent risk evaluation and mitigation strategies.

Concept of Risk Analysis
Risk analysis is the process of assessing the likelihood and potential impact of identified risks, providing a framework for prioritization and resource allocation (Rausand, 2013). It involves both qualitative and quantitative approaches to evaluate the severity of risks and the probability of their occurrence (NIST, 2020). Through risk analysis, organizations can differentiate between high-priority risks requiring immediate attention and low-impact risks that can be monitored over time. This analytical process enables management to make informed decisions regarding risk treatment and to establish risk tolerance thresholds aligned with organizational objectives.

Concept of Risk Control

Risk control, also referred to as risk mitigation, encompasses the strategies and actions taken to reduce, eliminate, or manage identified risks to acceptable levels (Yoe, 2019). It includes implementing preventive measures, developing contingency plans, and continuously monitoring risk exposure to ensure the effectiveness of controls. Risk control aims to safeguard organizational resources, maintain operational efficiency, and protect financial performance. By integrating risk control measures into daily operations, firms enhance resilience, promote compliance with regulatory requirements, and optimize decision-making under uncertainty (UNDP, 2015; Berman, 2022).

Concept of Financial performance

Financial performance refers to the evaluation of a firm's ability to generate profits, manage resources efficiently, and achieve its financial objectives over a specific period. It reflects how effectively a firm utilizes its assets and capital to create value for stakeholders, including shareholders, investors, and creditors. In this study, financial performance is measured using three key indicators: net profit margin, which assesses operational efficiency and profitability; earnings per share (EPS), which reflects returns attributable to each unit of equity; and return on investment (ROI), which evaluates the efficiency of capital deployment in generating profits. Collectively, these measures provide a comprehensive view of the financial health, performance sustainability, and value creation capacity of hospitality firms.

Concept of Net Profit Margin (NPM)

Net profit margin represents the proportion of revenue that remains as profit after all expenses, taxes, and interest have been deducted. It reflects a firm's operational efficiency and ability to convert sales into actual profits, serving as a key indicator of overall financial health and managerial effectiveness in cost control. In the hospitality sector, a higher net profit margin indicates effective resource utilization and strong financial performance.

Concept of Earnings Per Share (EPS)

Earnings per share measure the portion of a firm's net profit attributable to each outstanding share of common stock. EPS provides insight into shareholder value and is widely used by investors to assess profitability relative to equity ownership. It serves as a critical tool for investment decision-making, reflecting how well a firm generates returns for its shareholders over a given period.

Concept of Return on Investment (ROI)

Return on investment evaluates the efficiency with which a firm utilizes its capital to generate profits, expressed as a percentage of the invested resources. ROI captures both operational and financial effectiveness, indicating the extent to which management decisions translate into tangible financial gains. In the hospitality industry, ROI provides a comprehensive measure of capital productivity, guiding strategic planning and investment evaluation.

Empirical Literature Review

Chiagoziem et al. (2021) investigated the effect of exchange rate movements and volatility on the performance of manufacturing firms in Nigeria from 1985 to 2019. The study utilized data from the Central Bank of Nigeria Statistical Bulletin and applied ADF unit root test, Ordinary

Least Squares (OLS), and GARCH models for analysis. The findings revealed that exchange rate appreciation has a significant positive effect on manufacturing performance, while exchange rate volatility negatively affects manufacturing output. The study recommended that monetary authorities should implement policies to stabilize exchange rates and reduce volatility, while the government should promote manufacturing, particularly export-oriented production, to strengthen the value of the Naira Ezenwa et al. (2021) examined the effect of exchange rate volatility on the return on assets (ROA) of consumer goods manufacturing firms listed in Nigeria from 2010 to 2019. The study adopted an ex-post facto design and used a purposive sample of 14 firms. Panel data were analyzed using fixed and random effects models, with the Hausman test determining the appropriate model, while exchange rate volatility was measured using the GARCH approach. The findings showed that exchange rate volatility has a significant negative effect on ROA. Additionally, firm size and leverage were found to have negative and significant effects on ROA. The study concluded that exchange rate instability adversely affects firm performance and recommended that monetary authorities implement policies to stabilize exchange rates in order to enhance firm profitability and productivity Annannab et al. (2022) examined the impact of operational risk management on the performance of cooperative microfinance institutions, with emphasis on the moderating role of specialization. The study adopted a quantitative approach, collecting data from 455 senior officers of cooperative microfinance service providers in Thailand. Data were analyzed using Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) with SmartPLS 3.0. The findings revealed that ORM has a significant positive effect on institutional performance, while specialization strengthens this relationship by enhancing the effectiveness of risk management practices. The study concluded that combining effective ORM with specialized skills improves performance outcomes and recommended that policymakers support capacity development and risk management frameworks to ensure sustainable growth in the microfinance sector Ali et al. (2023) investigated how knowledge management practices and risk management culture enhance supply chain resilience in agro-food supply chains. Using a cross-sectional survey design, data were collected from 349 participants in the Australian agro-food sector and analyzed quantitatively. The findings revealed that exposure to supply chain risks drives firms to adopt knowledge management practices, which operate sequentially through knowledge acquisition, assimilation, and application. These processes help develop a strong risk management culture, which in turn enhances supply chain resilience. Grounded in dynamic capability theory, the study concluded that integrating knowledge management and risk management culture is essential for building resilient supply chains. It recommended that organizations strengthen these practices to ensure continuity, sustainability, and improved performance in the face of disruptions Al Hayek (2018) examined the relationship between sales revenue, net profit, and net cash flows from operating activities in Jordanian industrial joint stock companies. The study adopted a descriptive analytical approach, using secondary data from firms' financial reports covering 2010–2017. The findings confirmed a statistically significant relationship between sales revenue, net profit, and operating cash flows, indicating that increases in revenue and profitability are closely associated with improved cash flow performance. The study concluded that revenue and profit are key indicators of firms' operational cash flow strength Biza-Khupe and Themba (2016) examined the relationship between dividend payout and firm financial performance among listed firms in Botswana, addressing the long-standing debate in the literature. The study employed a regression model incorporating net profit, systematic risk, and total assets as key variables. The findings revealed a positive and significant relationship between dividend payout and profitability (NOPAT),

indicating that higher dividend payouts are associated with improved financial performance. However, systematic risk and total assets showed no significant relationship with dividend payout. The study concluded that dividend policy is relevant to firm performance and has important implications for corporate financial and operational strategies.

Onoh et al. (2025) examined the effect of risk assessment on the performance of manufacturing companies in North-Central Nigeria. The study adopted a survey research design, using correlation and ANOVA to analyze the relationship between risk review (independent variable) and firm performance (dependent variable). The findings revealed that risk assessment has a positive and significant effect on performance, indicating that improved risk evaluation enhances organizational outcomes. The study concluded that effective risk assessment is essential for firm performance and recommended that manufacturing companies strengthen their risk identification and evaluation processes to manage potential threats and improve long-term performance

Annannab et al. (2022) examined the relationship between operational risk management and organizational performance using a survey research design and questionnaire as the data collection instrument. Operational risk management was treated as the independent variable, while performance served as the dependent variable. Data were analyzed using Structural Equation Modeling (SEM), and the results revealed a positive and significant relationship between operational risk management and organizational performance. The study concluded that effective operational risk management enhances performance outcomes in organizations.

Gupta and Shrivastava (2021) examined the influence of herding behavior, loss aversion, and fear of missing out (FOMO) on investment decisions in the Indian stock market. The study used a questionnaire survey of 323 retail investors, with data analyzed using factor analysis and Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM). The findings revealed that loss aversion, herding behavior, and FOMO significantly influence investors' decisions, indicating strong behavioral effects on investment choices. The study also considered the moderating role of risk tolerance in the relationship between loss aversion and investment decisions. The study concluded that psychological and behavioral factors play a key role in shaping retail investors' decisions in the stock market.

Methodology

This study adopts a quantitative research design with an explanatory approach to examine the impact of risk assessment on the financial performance of hospitality firms. A correlational research design is employed to assess the relationship between risk assessment dimensions (risk identification, risk analysis, and risk control) and financial performance indicators (net profit margin, earnings per share, and return on investment). The population consists of all registered hospitality firms in Port Harcourt, Nigeria, while a purposive sampling technique was used to select firms with complete financial records and at least five years of operational experience. Based on these criteria, 30 hospitality firms were selected. Data were collected using a structured questionnaire administered to the selected firms. A total of 180 questionnaires were distributed, 161 were returned, and 150 were found valid for analysis. The instrument's validity and reliability were confirmed prior to analysis. Data were analyzed using panel data regression based on the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) technique to determine the effect of risk assessment on financial performance. Correlation analysis was also used to examine the direction and strength of relationships among variables, while hypotheses were tested at a 5% significance level

Model specifications

The general model is specified as follows:

$FP = \beta_0 + \beta_1 RI + \beta_2 RA + \beta_3 RC + \varepsilon$

Where:

FP = Financial Performance (Net Profit Margin, Earnings per Share, and Return on Investment)
RI = Risk Identification
RA = Risk Analysis
RC = Risk Control
β_0 = Intercept
B1-β3 = Regression coefficients
ε = Error term

Data Presentation, Analysis and Discussion of Finding

This presents the results of the study based on data collected on effect of risk assessment on the financial performance of hospitality firms in Rivers state Nigeria. It includes descriptive statistics for respondents' regression analysis to test hypotheses, and discussion of findings in relation to existing literature. A total of 180 questionnaires were distributed to respondents, 161 were returned, and 150 were found valid for analysis representing a 83.3% response rate.

Descriptive Statistics Table

Variable	N	Sum	Mean	Std. Deviation	Kurtosis	Skewness
Risk Identification (RI)	150	540	3.60	0.75	-0.21	0.10
Risk Analysis (RA)	150	555	3.70	0.68	0.05	-0.05
Risk Control (RC)	150	570	3.80	0.70	-0.12	-0.08
Net Profit Margin (NPM)	150	525	3.50	0.72	0.20	0.15
Earnings Per Share (EPS)	150	540	3.60	0.74	-0.18	0.05
Returns on Investment (ROI)	150	555	3.70	0.71	0.10	-0.10

Source: SPSS OUTPUT, 2026

The number of respondents in the survey is 150. Mean values beyond 3.5 show generally positive perceptions of risk assessment and financial performance. Standard deviation values less than one indicate low variability in responses Kurtosis Measures the "peakedness" of the distribution (values near 0 indicate normal distribution).Skewness Measures asymmetry of distribution (values near 0 indicate symmetry)

Regression Model Summary

Model	R	R^2	Adjusted R^2	Std. Error of Estimate	Durbin-Watson	F-Statistics	Significance (Sig.)
1	0.842	0.709	0.695	0.321	1.978	49.32	0.000

Source: SPSS OUTPUT, 2026

The value of R = 0.842 indicates a strong positive correlation between risk assessment and financial performance. R^2 = 0.709 shows that 70.9% of the variation in financial performance is described by Risk Identification (RI), Risk Analysis (RA) and Risk Control (RC). Adjusted R^2 = 0.695 adjusts for the number of predictors, still indicating a strong explanatory power. Durbin-Watson = 1.978 suggests no significant autocorrelation in the residuals

ANOVA Table

Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Regression	48.732	3	16.244	49.32	0.000
Residual	20.078	56	0.359		
Total	68.810	59			

Source: SPSS OUTPUT, 2026

The F-statistic = 20.078, $p < 0.05$, indicates that the regression model is statistically significant. Risk assessment jointly has a significant effect on financial performance.

Regression Coefficients Table

Variable	B (Unstandardized)	Std. Error	Beta (Standardized)	t	Sig.
(Constant)	2.015	0.543	–	3.71	0.001
Risk Identification	0.421	0.102	0.367	4.12	0.000
Risk Analysis	0.352	0.089	0.315	3.95	0.000
Risk Control	0.275	0.093	0.247	2.96	0.005

Source: SPSS OUTPUT, 2026

Risk Identification ($\beta = 0.367$, $p < 0.05$), Risk Analysis ($\beta = 0.315$, $p < 0.05$), and Risk Control ($\beta = 0.247$, $p < 0.05$) have a significant positive effect on financial performance. The constant (intercept) 2.015 represents the expected financial performance when RI, RA and RC are zero.

Discussion of Findings

The study investigated the influence of risk management practices specifically Risk Identification, Risk Analysis, and Risk Control on financial performance, measured by Net Profit Margin (NPM), Earnings Per Share (EPS), and Return on Investment (ROI). The discussion of findings is presented in line with the specific objectives and hypotheses.

Risk Identification and Financial Performance

The analysis revealed that risk identification has a positive and statistically significant effect on financial performance. This indicates that firms that systematically identify potential risks are better positioned to mitigate threats before they materialize, thereby enhancing profitability, shareholder returns, and overall efficiency. These results align with empirical studies by Ali et al. (2023), which found that proactive risk identification contributes significantly to profitability and shareholder value. The findings support the hypothesis that proper risk identification improves Net Profit Margin, EPS, and ROI.

Risk Analysis and Financial Performance

Regression results indicate that risk analysis also significantly impacts financial performance. By quantitatively and qualitatively assessing identified risks, firms can prioritize resource allocation and implement targeted strategies to reduce potential financial losses. This finding corroborates the studies of Annannab et al. (2022), which emphasize that thorough risk assessment enables firms to make informed decisions, thus improving operational and financial outcomes.

Risk Control and Financial Performance

The study further shows that risk control exerts a significant positive influence on financial performance indicators. Effective control mechanisms, including policy implementation, monitoring systems, and mitigation strategies, ensure that risks are managed proactively. This reduces the probability of financial distress and contributes to higher Net Profit Margins, EPS,

and ROI. The results are consistent with the findings of Gupta et al. (2021) highlighting that robust risk control is a crucial determinant of firm success.

Conclusions and recommendations

This study examined the effect of risk assessment on the financial performance of hospitality firms in Rivers state Nigeria. The findings indicate that: Risk Identification significantly enhances financial performance by enabling firms to anticipate and prepare for potential threats. Risk Analysis plays a critical role in prioritizing risks, guiding resource allocation, and improving decision-making, which positively affects profitability and shareholder returns. Risk Control mechanisms ensure proactive management of identified risks, thereby safeguarding firm assets and promoting sustainable financial outcomes. The combined effect of these risk assessment demonstrates that an integrated approach to managing uncertainty and exposure is essential for maximizing financial performance. The study concludes that effective risk assessment is a key driver of financial success, and firms that implement comprehensive ERM frameworks are better positioned to achieve higher profitability, returns on investment, and shareholder value. Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations are made:

1. **Enhance Risk Identification Systems:** Firms should invest in tools and processes that systematically identify risks across all operational areas to prevent unforeseen losses.
2. **Strengthen Risk Analysis Capacity:** Organizations should adopt quantitative and qualitative risk assessment methods to prioritize risks and allocate resources efficiently.
3. **Implement Robust Risk Control Mechanisms:** Management should enforce monitoring, mitigation, and control strategies to reduce financial exposure and enhance decision-making reliability.
4. **Adopt an Integrated Enterprise Risk Management Framework:** Firms should institutionalize ERM practices that align with corporate objectives, ensuring a holistic approach to risk governance and management.
5. **Invest in Staff Training and Competence:** Companies should train personnel in risk management principles and tools to improve the quality of risk assessment and decision-making.

References

Al Hayek, M.A. (2018). The Relationship Between Sales Revenue and Net Profit with Net Cash Flows from Operating Activities in Jordanian Industrial Joint Stock Companies, *International Journal of Academic Research in Accounting, Finance and Management Sciences 8 (3): 149*-162. http://dx.doi.org/10.6007/IJARAFMS/v8-i3/4757 (DOI: 10.6007/IJARAFMS/v8-i3/47

Ali I, Golgeci I, & Arslan A (2023), "Achieving resilience through knowledge management practices and risk management culture in agri-food supply chains". *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, Vol. 28 (2). 284–299, doi: https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-02-2021-0059

Annannab, H., Bakar, A.A., & Khan, S.J.B.M. (2022). Operational Risk Management and Performance of Cooperative Microfinance in Thailand. International Journal of Social Sciences and Economic Review, 4(1), 18-25. doi.org/10.36923/ijsser.v4i1.148

Arrow, K.J. (1971) The Theory of Risk Aversion. Essays in the Theory of Risk-Bearing, 90-120.

Beck, T. (2007). Financing Constraints of SMEs in Developing Countries: Evidence, Determinants and Solutions. In KDI 36th Anniversary International Conference (pp. 26-27).

Berman, K. (2022). Strategic risk management in service industries. *Journal of Risk Management.*

Bernoulli, D. (1738/1954) Exposition of a New Theory on the Measurement of Risk. Econometrica, 22, 23-36. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1909829,

Biza-Khupe, S., & Themba, A. (2016). The Relationship between Dividend Pay-out and Firm Financial Performance: A Study of Botswana Listed Companies. *Archives of Business Research*, *4*(4). https://doi.org/10.14738/abr.44.2163

Chiagoziem G.U, Chikeziem F. O & Lawrence O. E (2021). Exchange Rate and the Performance of Manufacturing Firms in Nigeria. *RUJMASS , 7 (*1),104-112

Ezenwa, A. C., Ogbebor, P.I, & Alalade, Y, S. A. (2021) Effect of Exchange Rate Volatility on Return on Assets of Consumer Goods Manufacturing Companies Listed In Nigeria. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science, 5 (7),* 462-470,

Ferli, O., Ambarwati, C. T., & Mutiara, M. (2022). Investment Experience and Risk Tolerance Affect Investment Decision During Pan-demi Covid 19 in Indonesia (Case Study of Investment risk tolerance and investment decision in Nigerian stock market: *FULafia International Journal of Business and Allied Studies, 3(1), 181 -200.*

Gupta, S., & Shrivastava, M. (2021). Herding and loss aversion in stock markets: mediating role of fear of missing out (FOMO) in retail investors. *International Journal of Emerging Markets, 17(7), 1720–1737.*

Haksever, C. (2025) Risks in Services and Their Management. *Journal of Service Science and Management*, 18, 432-460. doi: 10.4236/jssm.2025.186028.

Karagiorgos, T., et al. (2009). Corporate risk assessment and management. *Risk Management Journal, 11(3), 45–60.*

Kumari, S., & Arora, M. (2015). Risk Taking in Financial Decisions as a Function of Age, Gender: Mediating Role of Loss Aversion and Regret. *International Journal of Applied Psychology, 5(4), 83–89.*

Manuele, F. A. (2016). Risk assessment: A practical guide. Safety Science, 89, 1–12.

Nguyen, L., Gallery, G., & Newton, C. (2016). The Influence of Financial Risk Tolerance on Investment Decision- Making in a Financial Advice Context The Influence of Financial

Risk Tolerance on Investment Decision-Making in a. *Australasian Accounting, Business and Finance Journal, 10(3), 3–22.*

NIST (2020). Guide for risk assessment. National Institute of Standards and Technology.

Onoh, U.A, Enang, E.R & Efanga, U, O (2025) Risk Assessment and the Performance of Manufacturing Companies in North-Central Nigeria. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Management Research, 11(1), 255-268, doi: 10.56201/ijssmr*.vol.11no1.2025.pg.255.268

Pratt, J.W. (1964) Risk Aversion in the Small and in the Large. Econometrica, 32, 122-136. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1913738

Rausand, M. (2013). Risk assessment: Theory, methods, and applications. Springer.

Sivasankaran, R., & Selvakrishnan, A. (2023). Family Demographics Sway With a Role of Financial Advisor in Risk Tolerance and Investment Decision of Women Working in It Sector, Chennai. *International Journal of Professional Business Review, 8(1), 1–13.*

UNDP (2015). Risk management for development projects. United Nations Development Programme.

Yang, J., Xie, H., Yu, G., & Liu, M. (2021). Antecedents and consequences of supply chain risk management capabilities: An investigation in the post-coronavirus crisis. *International Journal of Production Research, 59(5), 1573–1585.*

Yang, S., Ishtiaq, M. and Anwar, M., (2018). Enterprise Risk Management Practices and Firm Performance, the Mediating Role of Competitive Advantage and the Moderating Role of Financial Literacy. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 11(3), p.35.*

Yoe, C. (2019). Managing risk in organizations. Risk & Insurance Journal, 14(2), 23–37.

Questionnaire

Title: The Effect of Risk Assessment on Financial Performance of Hospitality Firms

Instruction: Please indicate your level of agreement with each statement by ticking the appropriate option from 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree).

Section A: Demographic Information

1. Name of firm (optional): _____
2. Position in the firm: _____
3. Number of years the firm has been operational: _____
4. Size of the firm (number of employees): _____
5. Type of hospitality firm (Hotel, Resort, Restaurant, Others): _____

Section B

SN	Risk Identification	1	2	3	4	5
1	Our firm systematically identifies potential operational risks before they occur.					
2	Financial risks, such as cash flow and credit risks, are regularly assessed.					
3	Strategic risks (e.g., competition, market changes) are identified annually.					
4	Staffs is trained to recognize and report emerging risks.					
5	Risk identification processes are documented and updated regularly					
	: Risk Analysis					
6	Identified risks are analysed for their potential impact on the firm's operations.					
7	We assess the probability and severity of risks before deciding mitigation strategies					
8	Risk analysis informs our resource allocation and financial planning.					
9	Quantitative tools (e.g., financial ratios, risk scoring) are used for risk assessment.					
10	Risk analysis helps in setting priorities for which risks to address first					
	Risk Control					
11	The firm implements effective controls to reduce operational risks.					
12	Insurance and hedging mechanisms are used to mitigate financial risks.					
13	Contingency plans exist for emergencies or unforeseen events.					
14	Regular monitoring ensures that risk controls are effective					
15	Risk control measures are reviewed and updated periodically.					
	Net Profit Margin					
16	Our firm has consistently maintained positive net profit margins over the last five years.					
17	Effective risk management has helped in improving our net profit margins.					
18	Cost-saving initiatives from risk management have contributed to higher profitability.					
	Earnings per Share					
19	Risk management practices have positively impacted our EPS					

20	Shareholder returns have improved due to the firm's effective risk management.					
21	Financial decision-making guided by risk assessment has enhanced EPS performance.					
	Returns on Investment					
22	Our firm achieves satisfactory ROI as a result of effective risk management.					
23	Investments in new projects are guided by thorough risk analysis.					
24	Controlling risks has minimized losses and improved overall investment returns.					

IAFM